Cosmos Journal of Engineering & Technology
A Refereed Research Journal
Vol 7 / No 1 / Jan-Jun 2017 ISSN: 2231-4210

A STUDY ON NILPOTENT GROUPS

*Pankaj Kumar
**Dr. Rishikant Agnihotri

Abstract

Nilpotent group is commonly thought of as a collection of groups that are only finitely far from an Abelian group. It's like the gaggle G type such that for some like integer say n, Cn+1(G) is related to trivial group, and Cm(G) is like mth term of G's lower central considering series. Nilpotency class regarding G is the smallest quantity integer that meets this criterion. The notion regarding nilpotency class is frequently extended to any ordinal via transfinite recursion. The nilpotency class of all abelian groups is at most 1; trivial group is like the only group with nilpotency class as 0.

Keywords: Group, Ring, Nilpotent.

Introduction

The theory regarding group rings could serve as a nexus for a variety of algebraic theories. It's evident how close the latter is to the former, given its essential role in the creation of the concept regarding group representations. The intimate relationships between the results on group rings and deep facts about groups and rings also reveal direct linkages with the concept of groups as well as ring theory. Irrational number theory plays an important part in the development of the topic since integral group rings are of interest to academics and the topic requires the use of algebraic numbers. Finally, group rings really are important considering other branches related to mathematics such as homological algebra alongwith algebraic topology, as well as algebraic type K-theory, which have seen significant applications in the theory regarding error correcting type codes used as digital transmissions over the last decade, allowing the development of new codes that are both efficient as well as reliable.

To get a thought about the importance regarding group rings considering algebraic research, it's enough to watch that several great contemporary algebraists uses to work at some point of their lives within the area, contributing fundamentally to its development.

Review of Literature

H.J. Bartels, (2014) discussed about finite nilpotent set of groups with matrices related to over commutative type of rings. In abstract group theory, the descending central series {C i (G)} of a group G is defined (so C 1 (G) is the derived group, but for i > 1 typically C i (G) is much larger than the ith term). This type is using decreasing chain regarding normal (even characteristic) subgroups. One says that G is nilpotent if C i (G) = 1 for i = 0. As with solvability (using the derived series), nilpotent is inherited by subgroups, quotients, and extensions. Note that if G is nilpotent and nontrivial, then the last nontrivial term C i0 (G) in the descending type of central series with satisfaction (G, Ci0 (G)) = 1.

Petrogradsky, (2011) In a recent preprint together with Shigeo Koshitani and Thomas Weigel, we establish a connection between the Alperin McKay conjecture regarding finite group as G & prime number as p & Grothendieck group L mx O (B) of linear source OG-lattices with maximal vertex in a p-block B, where O is like suitable complete type of discrete valuation at ring regarding characteristic 0 alongwith quotient field as K with residue field as F regarding characteristic p. Moreover, also the Isaacs-Navarro refinement of Alperin-McKay conjecture appears strictly linked to linear source lattices. It was proved by I.M. Isaacs, that any set regarding powers with prime p which consists number 1 is group of degrees. That group can even be taken to have nilpotence class 2. However, it is possible to derive some restrictions on that set of degrees if we consider only some specific families of groups.

This is noted that we considered groups with maximal class as thin groups, lean groups, and normally monomial groups. I will discuss situations where a closed-form representation related with truncated sum type modulo p can be directly inferred from a closed form for the series. The method is not limited to closed forms which are algebraic functions. In particular, I will discuss examples of series with closed - form representations involving polylogarithms Lid(x) = P∞ k=1 x k/kd, where the corresponding truncated sums modulo p admit closed forms.

*Research Scholar, Kalinga Univ., Chhattisgarh.
**Supervisor, Kalinga University, Chhattisgarh.

Theorem: Let be a gaggle, and let be a positive integer. Then the subsequent three statements are equivalent:

1. The group has nilpotency class at the most;
2. There exists a sequence

   $$G = G^1 \supseteq G^2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G^{n+1} = \{e\}$$

   of subgroups of G such that
   $G^{k+1} \subseteq (G, G^k)$,

   for all integers
   $1 \le k \le n$.

3. For every subgroup H of G, there exist subgroups

   $H^1, \ldots, H^{n+1}$,

   such that

   $H^1 = G, H^{n+1} = H$, and H^{k+1} is a normal subgroup of H^k such that H^k / H^{k+1} is commutative, for all integers

   $1 \le k \le n$.

4. The group G has a subgroup A in the center of G such that G/A has nilpotency class at most n - 1.

Proof:
To show that (1) implies (2), we may take

$$G^k = C^k(G).$$

To show that (2) implies (1), we note that it follows from induction that

$$C^k \subseteq G^k;$$

Hence,

$$C^{n+1}(G) = \{e\}.$$

Now, we show that (1) implies (3). Set; H_k = H.C^k(G) we claim that this suffices.

We wish first to show that H.C^k(G) normalizes H.C^{k+1}(G). Since H evidently normalizes H^{k+1}, it suffices to show that C^k(G) does; to this end, let g be an element of C^k(G) and h an element of H.C^{k+1}(G). Then

$$ghg^{-1} = h \cdot h^{-1} ghg^{-1} = h \cdot (h, g^{-1}) \in h \cdot (G, G^k) = h \cdot C^{k+1}(G).$$

Thus, H^k normalizes H^{k+1}. To prove that H^k / H^{k+1} is commutative, we note that C^k(G)/C^{k+1}(G) is commutative, and that the canonical homomorphism from C^k(G)/C^{k+1}(G) to H^k / H^{k+1} is surjective; thus H^k/H^{k+1} is commutative.

Corollary 1:
To show that (3) implies (1), we may take H = {e}.

To show that (1) implies (4), we may take A = C^m(G).

Finally, we show that (4) implies (1). Let ϕ be the canonical homomorphism of G onto G/A. Then

$$\phi(C^k(G)) = C^k(G/A)$$

In particular,

$$\phi(C^n(G)) = C^n(G/A) = \{e\}$$

Hence C^n(G) is a subset of A, so it lies in the center of G, and C^{n+1}(G) = {e}; thus the nilpotency class of G is at most n, as desired.

Corollary 2: Let G be a nilpotent group; let H be a subgroup of G. If H is its own normalizer, then H = G.

Proof:
Suppose H ≠ G; then there is a greatest integer

$$k \in [1, n+1]$$

for which

$$H^k \neq H.$$

Then H^k normalizes H.

Corollary 3: Let G be a nilpotent group; let H be a proper subgroup of H. Then there exists a proper normal subgroup A of G such that

$$H \subseteq A$$

and G/A is abelian.

Proof:
In the notation of the theorem, let k be the least integer such that H^k ≠ G. Then set A = H^k.

Corollary 4: Let G be a nilpotent group; let H be a subgroup of G. If G = H(G, G), then G = H.

Proof:
Suppose that G≠H. Then let A be the normal subgroup of G containing H as described in Corollary 3. Then

$$(G, G) \subseteq A,$$

So

$$H(G, G) \subseteq HA = A \subsetneq G,$$

a contradiction.

Corollary 5: Let G' be a group, let G be a nilpotent group, and let f:G'→G be a group

homomorphism for which the homomorphism
$f' : G'/(G', G') \to G/(G, G)$
derived from passing to quotients is surjective. Then f is surjective.

Proof:
Let H be the image of f' and apply Corollary 3.

Proposition: Let G be a group of nilpotency class at most n, and let N be a normal subgroup of G. Then there exists a sequence
$(N^k)_{1 \le k \le n+1}$

of subgroups of G such that

$N^1 = N$, $N^{n+1} = \{e\}$, $N^{k+1} \subseteq N^k$,

And
$(G, N^k) \subseteq N^{k+1}$,

for all integers
$1 \le k \le n+1$.

Proof:
Let
$N^k = N \cap C^k(G)$.

Then
$(G, N^k) \subseteq (G, G^k) = G^{k+1}$,

And
$(G, N^k) \subseteq (G, N) \subseteq N$,
since N is a normal subgroup.

Corollary 6: Let G be a nilpotent group; let N be a normal subgroup of G, and let Z be the center of G. If N is not trivial, then $N \cap Z$ is not trivial.

Proof:
In the proposition's notation, let k be the greatest integer such that

$N^k \neq \{e\}$
$(G, N^k) \subseteq N^{k+1} = \{e\}$,

so N^k is a nontrivial subgroup that lies in the center of G and in N.

Corollary 7: Let G be a nilpotent group, let G' be a group, and let f be a homomorphism of G into G'. If the restriction of f to the center of G is injective, then so is f.

Proof:
We proceed by contrapositive. Suppose that f is not injective; then the kernel of f is nontrivial, so by the previous corollary, the intersection of Ker(f) and the center of F is nontrivial, so the restriction of f to the center of G is not injective.

References

1. H.J. Bartels, "Zora Galoiskohomologie definite arithmetischer Grippe," Journal fur die Reined und Angewandte Mathematics, vol. 298, issue 4, pp. 89-97, 2014.
2. Naseem Ajma, Nilpotent L-subgroups and the set product of L-subsets, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp.255-267, 2012.
3. Jahan, A study of normal fuzzy subgroups and characteristic fuzzy subgroups of a fuzzy group, Fuzzy Information and Engineering, vol.56, issue 42, pp.13-34, 2017.
4. Voll, C., Normal zeta functions of the Heisenberg groups over number rings I: the unramified case, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, vol.56, issue 78, pp.12-24, 2011.
5. Petrogradsky, Multiple zeta functions and asymptotic structure of free abelian groups of finite rank, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol.78, issue 7, pp.34-45, 2011.